Honorable Jim Courter
Member, U.S. House of
Representatives
2325 Plainfield Avenue
Suite 2G
South Plainfield, New Jersey 07080

Re: Asbestos Dump Site Millington, New Jersey

Dear Mr. Courter:

This is in response to your letter dated October 22, 1990 written on behalf of your constituents, Judith and William Schmidt, concerning the Asbestos Dump Site. The Site includes the "Main Site" located in Millington and the White Bridge Road, New Vernon Road and Dietzman Tract Sites (also known as the "Satellite Sites"). A Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study (RI/FS) was conducted by National Gypsum, the potentially responsible party (PRP), under an administrative consent order with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). As stated in the Schmidt's letter, Fred C. Hart and Associates prepared an RI/FS report on behalf of National Gypsum. However, I would like to clarify for your constituents that the report indicated that remedial action was warranted to address the potential threats posed by the conditions at the Main Site. Since the RI/FS did not adequately define the extent of asbestos contamination at the Satellite Sites, EPA divided the Site into two operable units. EPA issued a Record of Decision for the first operable unit (FOU) which addresses the Main Site and provides for additional study of the Satellite Sites. The design and implementation of the remedial action for the FOU is being conducted by National Gypsum under a unilateral administrative order.

Data collected from the New Vernon and White Bridge Road Sites on August 24, 1990 indicated the presence of 5 percent chrysotile asbestos in soils, at each of these sites. Chrysotile is a known human carcinogen. This finding was of particular concern since residences and businesses are located on both of these sites. Dust samples were also collected from the household vacuum cleaner bags of the two on-site residences and several nearby homes. EPA transmitted these data to the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) for review.

SYMBOL>	NJCS	NTCB	ADNJP	DD/ERRD	D/ERRD _	OEP	DRA	RA
SURNAME>	DIFORTE	BASSO -	FRISCO	CALLÀHAN	CASPE	MARSHALL	MUSZYNSKI	ERISTOFF
DATE>	1 the section ?	1.	80-11/20	/	11/20	11/4	97/21	Only
					,	J	7-1	

On Friday, September 14, 1990, ATSDR formally concluded that the conditions at the New Vernon Road and White Bridge Road Sites presented an imminent threat to the residents living on those Sites. ATSDR recommended that the exposure of the residents to asbestos contamination be terminated. In addition, ATSDR recommended that activities which generate dust be terminated and warning signs specifying the exposure risk be posted at the Sites.

With respect to your constituents' statements regarding community relations, EPA recognized the sensitivity of this issue and responded to these recommendations in a responsible manner. EPA and ATSDR had several face-to-face meetings with the two families which were directly impacted by the recommendations. The Town Administrator, Mayor, Police Department and Emergency Response personnel were advised of ATSDR's conclusions before warning signs were posted. In addition, a meeting was held with the local officials, the general public and the press to apprise them of these developments prior to EPA's implementation of any substantive action.

The Agency also hand-delivered a letter detailing the results of vacuum cleaner samples, along with other pertinent information to affected residences. The Schmidt home was one of those residences. EPA and ATSDR staff met with one of the Schmidts at their home and explained that the results of the vacuum cleaner sampling were at the low end of the risk range and that EPA intended to do additional confirmatory sampling.

In response to your constituents' comments regarding a permanent response action for these Sites, although EPA and ATSDR strongly recommended that the residents living on the White Bridge Road and New Vernon Road Site relocate, the residents elected not to do so. Consequently, removal actions were conducted at both Sites as temporary mitigative measures until a permanent solution can be determined. In terms of EPA's long-term strategy for these Sites, a comprehensive soil sampling program was also completed earlier this month. We expect results from this sampling effort by the end of this year. Based upon this data, EPA will evaluate remedial alternatives, and present its findings to the public before making a final decision with respect to appropriate response actions.

If you have any further questions or need additional information, please let me know or have your staff contact Jeane Rosianski of the Office of External Programs at (212) 264-7834.

Sincerely,

Constantine Sidamon-Eristoff Regional Administrator

cc: Commissioner Judith A. Yaskin New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection

bcc: Rosemary Carroll

Executive & Congressional Communications

CCO